# 7.0 ERP IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK

Implementation of the Pleasant Grove/Curry Creek ERP will be a long-term process and will require extensive collaboration among watershed stakeholders. It is anticipated that many strategies will be pursued simultaneously based on stakeholders' interests and availability of resources. This chapter provides guidance on the relative implementation priorities and suggests which stakeholder(s) should be taking a lead in implementation for each strategy or project.

# 7.1 VOLUNTARY PARTICIPATION

It is important to recognize that participation in ERP implementation by the multiple jurisdictions and agencies with regulatory and land use authority in the watershed is voluntary. The ERP does not supplant local planning policies or ordinances, but serves as a suggested framework for watershed ecosystem protection and enhancement. Local jurisdictions should use the ERP as a resource and determine how best to incorporate its strategies within the context of their own jurisdictional structures.

Participation by watershed organizations and land owners is also voluntary, since the ERP alone has no regulatory authority.

## 7.2 REGIONAL COORDINATION

Establishment of a regional entity for ERP implementation such as a Joint Powers Authority (JPA) could enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of watershed restoration for all stakeholders. Precedents for this type of collaboration include the Placer County Conservation Plan (PCCP), and the Municipal NPDES permit. Regional coordination is essential to maximize watershed access to funding and expertise, and to make sure local watershed management is integrated with the larger ecosystem of the region. Another very important benefit of regional coordination is the potential for consistent and complementary direction in local land use policies and ordinances.

# 7.3 IMPLEMENTATION SUMMARY

Table 7-1 is a summary list of the various ERP strategies and projects recommended by this Plan. While all strategies/projects are important, a relative priority has been assigned to each based on a consideration of the anticipated benefit to the watershed and the strategic significance of the task. Sequence of implementation may or may not follow the priority

#### Pleasant Grove/Curry Creek Ecosystem Restoration Plan

designation since advocating stakeholders and resources may be available for lower priority projects before higher priority ones.

Lead stakeholders for each strategy/project have also been identified. Lead stakeholders are intended to be those parties that have a significant interest in the strategy or project typically because it addresses their interest area and/or they have a related jurisdictional or regulatory oversight responsibility. However, other stakeholders are expected to participate in implementation of these strategies/projects as well. Appendix H contains a table that shows which ERP goals and objectives each strategy/project supports.

Table 7-1 ERP Implementation Summary

Strategy/Project	Relative Priority	Potential Lead Stakeholder(s)	
Watershed/Regional Strategies			
Riparian Buffer Preservation and Enhancement	High	Placer Co., Sutter Co., Roseville, Rocklin	
Open Space Corridors/Network	High	Placer Co., Sutter Co., Roseville, Rocklin	
Coordinated Habitat Conservation	High	Placer Co., Sutter Co., Roseville, Rocklin	
Invasive Species Management	High	Placer Co., Sutter Co., Roseville, Rocklin	
Erosion Management Strategy	Medium	Placer Co., Sutter Co., Roseville, Rocklin	
Beaver Management	Medium	Placer Co., Sutter Co., Roseville, Rocklin	
Community Strategies			
Low Impact Development	High	Placer Co., Sutter Co., Roseville, Rocklin	
On-site Storm Water Management			
Road Design			
Mixed-use Town Centers			
Schools & Parks Adjacent to Open Space			
Recreation Facility Design			
Industrial Zone Buffers			
Landscape Transition Zones			
Parking Lot Storm Drain Filters			
Incentive Programs			
Site Specific Restoration Projects (See Figure 3-11)			
PG6	High	Sutter Co.	
PG39	High	Placer Co.	
SP20	High	Roseville	
KA3,4	High	Roseville	
KA9	High	Roseville	
KA-A4	High	Roseville	
CC-10	High	Placer Co.	
PG32,33	Medium	Roseville	
PG41	Medium	Placer Co.	
PG44-45	Medium	Placer Co.	
PG-A4	Medium	Roseville	
Pleasant Grove Canal	Medium	Sutter Co	
SP7	Medium	Roseville	
SP12	Medium	Roseville	

Strategy/Project	Relative Priority	Potential Lead Stakeholder(s)	
SP15,16	Medium	Roseville	
SP22	Medium	Roseville	
KA5	Medium	Roseville	
KA-A6-8	Medium	Roseville	
KA-A5	Medium	Roseville	
KA-B4	Medium	Roseville	
PG-D2, PG-D7	Low	Placer Co.	
SP19	Low	Roseville	
KA12	Low	Roseville	
CC15-18	Low	Placer Co.	
CC1, CC4-6	Varies	Sutter Co.	
CC13, CC-B1, CC-C1, CC-C3	Varies	Placer Co.	
Mapping & Monitoring			
Water Quality Monitoring	High	Placer Co., Roseville, Dry Creek Conservancy	
Hydrologic Mapping/Monitoring	High	Placer Co., Sutter Co., PCFCWCD	
Restoration Project Mapping/Monitoring	Medium	All stakeholders implementing restoration projects	
Mapping/Monitoring Database	Medium	TBD by Watershed Group	
Stakeholder Monitors	Medium	TBD by Watershed Group	
Public Education and Stewardship			
Stewardship Coordination	Medium	TBD by Watershed Group	
Private Property Preservation Incentives	Medium	Placer Co., Sutter Co., Placer Land Trust	
Water Quality Stewardship Training for Homeowners	Medium	Roseville, Rocklin, Placer Co. Dept. of Public Works	
Interpretive Programs	Medium	TBD by Watershed Group	
Agricultural Lands Management	Medium	Placer Co., Sutter Co., Placer Co. Agricutural Commission, Farm Bureau, NRCS	
Stewardship Directory	Low	TBD by Watershed Group	
Landscape Guidelines for Homeowners	Low	Roseville, Rocklin, PCFCWCD	
Homeowner Stormwater Retention Program	Low	Roseville, Rocklin, PCFCWCD	
Impervious Surface Retrofit Program	Low	Placer Co. Dept. of Public Works	
Storm Drain Labels	Low	Placer Co., Sutter Co., Roseville, Rocklin	

## 7.4 FUNDING

Estimating the total cost for ERP implementation is beyond the scope of the ERP development, however, availability of funding is expected to be one of the major constraining influences on ERP implementation.

Watershed stakeholders will need to aggressively seek grants, donations, and other resources in order to make progress on many of the recommended strategies. To the extent feasible, local jurisdictions and organizations are encouraged to consider ERP recommended projects when establishing their annual budgets and requests for funding. Some ERP actions may be able to be supported through incorporation with other planning activities already underway and funded. Inclusion of projects in the ERP should strengthen the potential for local organizations and jurisdictions to secure finding. In addition, the Placer County Conservation Plan mitigation funds may also be a potential revenue source for ERP implementation.

### 7.5 VOLUNTEERISM

Given the limitation of financial resources, volunteerism will play a crucial role in ERP implementation. At present, the primary participants in watershed planning activities are the local jurisdictions and agencies. These lead stakeholders should aggressively work to expand the visibility of ERP activities and to bring a broader base of stakeholders to the Watershed Group, including more private land owners, businesses, and educators.

There is also currently no single advocacy organization focused specifically on the Pleasant Grove/Curry Creek watershed ecosystem. The Dry Creek Conservancy has participated significantly in the recent water quality monitoring activities in the watershed and may have the interest and capacity to expand their role further, particularly as it relates to volunteer recruitment and organization.

## 7.6 COORDINATION

Placer County is currently providing staff to act in a Coordinator capacity for the watershed. This is a vitally important role and sources for sustained funding of this position should be secured either through grants or contributions from watershed stakeholder jurisdictions or organizations. The position does not necessarily have to be filled by Placer County staff. Other options include hiring an independent contractor or rotating responsibility for the position on periodic basis among the various

# Pleasant Grove/Curry Creek Ecosystem Restoration Plan

jurisdictions in the watershed. Another approach would be to designate a permanent staff position within one of the stakeholder jurisdictions as the Watershed Coordinator and fund the position with commitments from other jurisdictions and stakeholders.